



1                   **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT** Plaintiff's motion is granted. The Court  
2 finds that Defendant AMTRAK's failure to serve a complete response to MR. CAMPBELL's  
3 Interrogatories was unreasonable within the meaning and spirit of the Federal Rules and the  
4 information sought is relevant to the subject matter of this dispute and reasonably calculated to  
5 lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. (*Heyne v. Caruso*, 69 F.3d 1475, 1469-1481 (9<sup>th</sup>  
6 Cir. 1994); *Morgan v. National Passenger Railroad Corporation*, 232 F.3d 1008, 1018 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir.  
7 2000), *affirmed in part and reversed in part on other grounds* in *National Railroad Passenger*  
8 *Corporation v. Morgan*, 536 U.S. 101, 122 S.Ct. 2061, 2074 (2002); *Stender v. Lucky's Stores*,  
9 803 F.Supp. 259, 331-332 (N.D.Cal. 1992); *Estes v. Dick Smith Ford, Inc.*, 856 F.2d 1097 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir.  
10 1988).)

11                   **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT** Defendant AMTRAK shall serve  
12 Supplemental Answers to Interrogatory Nos. 1, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 and 19  
13 within seven (7) days from the date of this Order.

14 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

15 HON. ELIZABETH D. LAPORTE  
16 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28